UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

LESLIE L. BURKHARDT,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
V.)	No. 1:21-cv-02341-SEB-MJD
PENNSYLVANIA HIGHER EDUCATION)	
ASSISTANCE AGENCY, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION HON. MAGISTRATE JUDGE MARK J. DINSMORE

This matter was filed by Plaintiff on August 26, 2021 [Dkt. 1], and summonses were issued on August 27, 2021 [Dkt. 3.] On December 10, 2021, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause, instructing Plaintiff to show cause as to why this action should not be dismissed due to Plaintiff's failure to comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) and Plaintiff's failure to prosecute pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) by **December 29, 2021**. [Dkt. 5.] To date, Plaintiff has not filed a response to the Court's Order to Show Cause has been filed.

A district court has the inherent authority to impose sanctions on a party for the "'willful disobedience of a court order." *See Trzeciak v. Petrich*, 2014 WL 5488439, at *1 (N.D. Ind. Oct. 29, 2014) (quoting *Chambers v. NASCO, Inc.*, 501 U.S. 32, 45 (1991)). This power is governed "not by rule or statute but by the control necessarily vested in courts to manage their own affairs so as to achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases." *Barnhill v. U.S.*, 11 F.3d 1360, 1367 (7th Cir. 1993) (internal quotation and citation omitted). A court's inherent powers are to be used "to reprimand the offender" and "to deter future parties from trampling on the integrity of the court." *Dotson v. Bravo*, 321 F.3d 663, 668 (7th Cir. 2003)). The sanction imposed by a court

"should be proportionate to the gravity of the offense." *Montaño v. City of Chicago*, 535 F.3d 558, 563 (7th Cir. 2008).

As noted above, Plaintiff has failed to respond to the Court's Order to Show Cause [Dkt. 5], and therefore, Plaintiff has failed to comply with the Court's Order. Accordingly, the Magistrate Judge recommends that Plaintiff's claims in this matter be dismissed for failure to comply with the Court's Order and Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m), as well as for Plaintiff's failure to prosecute this action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

Any objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation shall be filed with the Clerk in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), and failure to timely file objections within fourteen days after service of this Order shall constitute a waiver of subsequent review absent a showing of good cause for such failure.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: 12 JAN 2022

Mark J. Dinsnore

United States Magistrate Judge Southern District of Indiana

Distribution:

Service will be made electronically on all ECF-registered counsel of record via email generated by the Court's ECF system.